
Table of Contents

UNIT 1 – INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Scope of Tank Farm Vadose Zone Program.....	1-2
1.3 Structure of the Document.....	1-4
1.4 References.....	1-5
2. WHY WE ARE HERE.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 History of Hanford Site.....	2-1
2.3 What is Tank Waste?.....	2-4
2.4 Groundwater Contamination at the Hanford Site.....	2-5
2.5 Groundwater Contamination at and Near the Tank Farms.....	2-8
2.6 Contamination in the Vadose Zone in the Tank Farms.....	2-12
2.7 References.....	2-18
3. FACILITY DESCRIPTION.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Descriptions of Farms and Waste Management Areas (WMAs).....	3-1
3.3 Description of Major Structures.....	3-2
3.4 Nearby Facilities.....	3-5
3.5 Closure Strategy for Tank Farms.....	3-13
3.6 References.....	3-14
UNIT 2 – TANK FARM VADOSE ZONE PROJECT.....	4-1
4. HISTORY OF THE TANK FARM VADOSE ZONE PROGRAM.....	4-1
4.1 Program Establishment.....	4-1
4.2 Codification of Requirements.....	4-2
4.3 Where We Are.....	4-2
4.4 References.....	4-4
5. MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS.....	5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Characterization Process.....	5-1
5.3 Field Investigations.....	5-5
5.4 Laboratory Analyses.....	5-10
5.5 Data Evaluations.....	5-15
5.6 Implementation of Interim Measures.....	5-18
5.7 Single-Shell Tank Farm System Performance Assessment.....	5-19
5.8 References.....	5-20

6.	SUMMARY OF WORK ACCOMPLISHED SINCE PUBLICATION OF RESPECTIVE FIELD INVESTIGATION REPORT	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	WMA S-SX.....	6-1
6.3	WMA B-BX-BY.....	6-5
6.4	WMAs T and TX-TY.....	6-9
6.5	References.....	6-13
7.	FIELD ACTIVITIES.....	7-1
7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
7.2	Boreholes	7-1
7.3	Direct Push.....	7-6
7.4	Geophysical Measurements	7-7
7.5	Integration with other Hanford Site Field Activities	7-9
7.6	References.....	7-11
8.	LABORATORY ACTIVITIES	8-1
8.1	Why Characterize Contamination in Sediments Sampled Beneath the Single-shell Tanks?.....	8-1
8.2	What are the Objectives of These Laboratory Characterization Studies?	8-2
8.3	What is the Approach to Characterizing the Sediments Contaminated by the Single-Shell Tanks?	8-2
8.4	How do the Laboratory Characterization Studies Influence the Field Drilling Efforts?.....	8-5
8.5	What Discoveries from the Vadose Zone Characterization Project have Changed our View of the Behavior of Contaminants in the Vadose Zone?.....	8-7
8.6	What is Being Done (or needs to be done) to Better Define the Risks Associated with Residual Tank Waste Material in the Vadose Zone?.....	8-9
8.7	References.....	8-9
9.	TANK WASTE INVENTORY IN THE VADOSE ZONE	9-1
9.1	Overview.....	9-1
9.2	Past Tank System Releases.....	9-1
9.3	Future Tank System Releases	9-4
9.4	References.....	9-5
10.	SIMULATIONS	10-1
10.1	Overview.....	10-1
10.2	Philosophy.....	10-1
10.3	Simulations of Past Tank System Releases	10-2
10.4	Single-Shell Tank Farm System	10-3
10.5	Interaction with Other Hanford Activities	10-5
10.6	References.....	10-5

11.	INTERIM MEASURES	11-1
11.1	Introduction.....	11-1
11.2	Background.....	11-1
11.3	Summaries of Completed Interim Measures.....	11-10
11.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	11-27
11.5	References.....	11-28
12.	RCRA PHASE 2 CORRECTIVE MEASURES AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES	12-1
12.1	Introduction.....	12-1
12.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	12-1
12.3	Path Forward.....	12-4
12.4	Reports	12-6
12.5	Interim Surface Barriers.....	12-10
12.6	C-200 Demonstration Project	12-11
12.7	References.....	12-12
13.	ASSOCIATED SCIENCE ACTIVITIES	13-1
13.1	Why Is Input from Basic Science Studies Important to Contaminant Migration and Site Remediation?	13-1
13.2	How Was Basic Science Involved?	13-2
13.3	What Are the Contributions from Basic Science Studies?	13-5
13.4	Have the Scientific Results Been Used?.....	13-9
13.5	What Surprises from Basic Research Studies Have Changed Our View of Water Flow and Contaminant Transport in the Vadose Zone?.....	13-10
13.6	References.....	13-10
14.	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	14-1
14.1	Overview.....	14-1
14.2	Sampling Tools	14-1
14.3	Field Geophysical Measurements	14-3
14.4	Laboratory Protocols.....	14-5
14.5	Data Interpretation Techniques.....	14-9
14.6	References.....	14-10
UNIT 3 – STATE OF KNOWLEDGE		15-1
15.	RISK ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS	15-1
15.1	Introduction.....	15-1
15.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	15-1
15.3	Process Requirements	15-2
15.4	Performance Objectives	15-3
15.5	Vadose Zone Computer Code Requirements.....	15-4
15.6	Contents and Formats	15-4
15.7	References.....	15-4

16.	GROUNDWATER PATHWAY CONCEPTUAL MODEL.....	16-1
16.1	Why is a Conceptual Model of Tank Waste Contaminant Migration Needed?.....	16-1
16.2	Components of and Primary Supporting Information for the Conceptual Model.....	16-3
16.3	Leak Event Processes.....	16-3
16.4	Subsequent Migration Processes.....	16-9
16.5	Importance of Water as a Driving Force.....	16-12
16.6	What is Being Done to Improve the Conceptual Model?.....	16-12
16.7	References.....	16-13
17.	INVENTORY	17-1
17.1	Introduction.....	17-1
17.2	What are the Contaminants of Concern?	17-2
17.3	How were Radionuclides Produced?	17-2
17.4	How were the Wastes Created?	17-3
17.5	Where is the Waste Stored?	17-6
17.6	What Happens to the Waste after Storage?.....	17-7
17.7	How much Waste is Present?.....	17-9
17.8	References.....	17-11
18.	RECHARGE	18-1
18.1	What is Recharge and Why is it Important?	18-1
18.2	What Determines Recharge?.....	18-2
18.3	Does Recharge Remain Constant?.....	18-4
18.4	How is Recharge Measured or Estimated?	18-5
18.5	What is a Surface Barrier and How Long can it Remain Effective?	18-6
18.6	What Surprises from Ongoing Hanford Research and Characterization Activities have Changed Our View of Recharge?	18-8
18.7	References.....	18-8
19.	CONTAMINANT RELEASE FROM RESIDUAL WASTE IN CLOSED SINGLE-SHELL TANKS AND OTHER WASTE FORMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TANKS.....	19-1
19.1	What Types of Wastes are Associated with Closure of the Hanford Single-Shell Tanks?.....	19-2
19.2	Why is Contaminant Release Important for Environmental Impacts?	19-3
19.3	What are the Processes of Contaminant Release from the Waste Forms?	19-4
19.4	How is Contaminant Release Measured for the Waste Forms?.....	19-6
19.5	What is the Status of this Testing Program?.....	19-8
19.6	How are Contaminant Release Data Used?	19-9
19.7	What Surprises have there Been?	19-9
19.8	References.....	19-10

20.	GEOLOGY	20-1
20.1	Why is Knowledge of the Geology Important to Contaminant Migration and Site Remediation?	20-1
20.2	How Do We Know the Geology?	20-1
20.3	What is the Geologic Setting and History of the Hanford Site?	20-2
20.4	Why are there Sediments Overlying the Columbia River Basalts?	20-8
20.5	Is the Geology of Single-Shell Tank Farms Different from the General Regional Geology?.....	20-11
20.6	What Surprises from Ongoing Hanford Research Changed our View of the Geology?.....	20-11
20.7	References.....	20-12
21	VADOSE ZONE MOISTURE FLOW	21-1
21.1	Introduction.....	21-1
21.2	An Empirical Experiment	21-1
21.3	What are the Important Vadose Zone Characteristics for Moisture Flow?	21-3
21.4	How does Moisture Move in the Vadose Zone ?.....	21-4
21.5	Moisture Plume Based on Field Injection Experiments	21-5
21.6	References.....	21-9
22	GEOCHEMISTRY AND DISTRIBUTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN THE VADOSE ZONE.....	22-1
22.1	What is Geochemistry?.....	22-1
22.2	What Types of Geochemical Studies are Being Completed?	22-1
22.3	How do Geochemical Processes Impact Contaminant Migration at the Hanford Site?	22-2
22.4	What is Known About Contaminant Distributions in the Vadose Zone Below Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks?	22-4
22.5	What are the Important Geochemical Factors That Influence Contaminant Transport?	22-8
22.6	How are the Results of the Geochemical Studies Used?	22-9
22.7	What Instruments are used in Geochemical Studies?.....	22-10
22.8	What Surprises from Geochemical Studies Have Changed the View of Contaminant Transport in the Vadose Zone?	22-11
22.9	References.....	22-13
23.	PAST AND CURRENT GROUNDWATER FLOW AND CONTAMINANT DISTRIBUTION AT SINGLE-SHELL TANK WASTE MANAGEMENT AREAS	23-1
23.1	Introduction.....	23-1
23.2	Why does the Hanford Site have Groundwater Contamination?.....	23-1
23.3	How is Groundwater Contamination Monitored?.....	23-2
23.4	Has the Direction of Groundwater Flow Changed?.....	23-3
23.5	What are the Major Contaminants in Groundwater at the 200 Areas?	23-4
23.6	What is being done to Better Identify the Specific Sources of Groundwater Contaminants?.....	23-9
23.7	References.....	23-10

24.	HANFORD SITE GROUNDWATER MODEL	24-1
24.1	Introduction.....	24-1
24.2	Current Effort for Hanford Groundwater Modeling.....	24-1
24.3	Past Efforts at Hanford Groundwater Modeling.....	24-1
24.4	References.....	24-2
25.	DOSIMETRY AND RISK	25-1
25.1	Introduction.....	25-1
25.2	How is Human Health Risk Calculated?	25-2
25.3	What are Exposure Scenarios?.....	25-3
25.4	Conclusion	25-8
25.5	References.....	25-8
26.	COMPUTER CODES	26-1
26.1	Introduction.....	26-1
26.2	Computer Code Requirements.....	26-1
26.3	Groundwater Pathway.....	26-2
26.4	Analyses of Other Pathways	26-7
26.5	References.....	26-7
27.	FUTURE IMPACTS.....	27-1
27.1	Introduction.....	27-1
27.2	Tank Farm Reference Case Simulations.....	27-1
27.3	Integrated Disposal Facility Reference Case Simulations	27-4
27.4	Sensitivity of Groundwater Pathway Results to Key Parameters.....	27-4
27.5	Air Impacts.....	27-9
27.6	Inadvertent Intruder Impacts.....	27-9
27.7	Ecological Assessment.....	27-10
27.8	References.....	27-10
28.	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS FROM THE HANFORD SITE	28-1
28.1	Introduction.....	28-1
28.2	Inventory	28-1
28.3	Groundwater Impacts.....	28-2
28.4	References.....	28-2
UNIT 4 – PATH FORWARD.....		29-1
29.	DATA NEEDS.....	29-1
29.1	Overview.....	29-1
29.2	Process	29-1
29.3	Data Needs Identified in First Effort that have been Addressed	29-2
29.4	Current High-Priority Data Needs	29-2
29.5	References.....	29-5

30.	PATH FORWARD	30-1
30.1	Introduction.....	30-1
30.2	Collection of New Data	30-2
30.3	Analysis of Data.....	30-2
30.4	Develop and Test Needed Models	30-3
30.5	Long-Term Human-Health and Environmental Impacts	30-3
30.6	Reference	30-3
UNIT 5 – END MATERIAL.....		31-1
31.	PREPARERS.....	31-1
31.1	Key Authors and Contributors	31-1
31.2	Supporting Authors and Reviewers	31-14
32.	GLOSSARY.....	32-1
33.	REFERENCES.....	33-1

List of Figures

Figure 1-1.	RCRA Corrective Action Process (from HFFACO)	1-3
Figure 2-1.	Hanford Site and its Location in Washington State.....	2-2
Figure 2-2.	Facilities in the 200 Areas of Hanford’s Central Plateau	2-3
Figure 2-3.	Waste at Hanford	2-4
Figure 2-4.	Chemical Contamination Underneath the Hanford Site	2-6
Figure 2-5.	Radionuclide Contamination Underneath the Hanford Site	2-7
Figure 2-6.	Technetium-99 Plumes in the Northwestern Region of the 200 East Area	2-9
Figure 2-7.	Technetium-99 Plumes in the Northern Region of the 200 West Area	2-10
Figure 2-8.	Technetium-99 Plumes in the Southern Region of the 200 West Area	2-10
Figure 2-8.	Technetium-99 Plumes in the Southern Region of the 200 West Area	2-11
Figure 2-9.	Vertical Distribution of ⁹⁹ Tc in Slant Borehole Underneath Tank SX-108.....	2-13
Figure 2-10.	Vertical Distribution of ¹³⁷ Cs in Slant Borehole Underneath Tank SX-108	2-14
Figure 2-11.	Vertical Distribution of ⁹⁹ Tc in Boreholes C4104 and 299-W10-196 Near Tank T-106.....	2-15
Figure 2-12.	Vertical Distribution of U in Boreholes C4104 and 299-W10-196 Near Tank T-106.....	2-16
Figure 2-13.	Vertical Distribution of ¹³⁷ Cs in Boreholes C4104 and 299-W10-196 Near Tank T-106. All data decay corrected to May 2003	2-17
Figure 3-1.	Facilities in the 200 Areas of Hanford’s Central Plateau.	3-1
Figure 3-2.	Typical Single-Shell Tank	3-2
Figure 3-3.	200-Series Single-Shell Tank	3-3
Figure 3-4.	244-CR Waste Vault.....	3-4
Figure 3-5.	Location Map of Waste Management Area A-AX and Surrounding Facilities.....	3-6
Figure 3-6.	General Configuration of Waste Management Area B-BX-BY	3-7
Figure 3-7.	Location Map of C Tank Farm and Surrounding Facilities	3-8
Figure 3-8.	Location Map of Waste Management Area S-SX and Surrounding Facilities.....	3-9

Figure 3-9.	Location Map of Waste Management Area T and Surrounding Facilities	3-10
Figure 3-10.	Location Map of TX and TY Tank Farms and Surrounding Facilities.....	3-11
Figure 3-11.	Location Map of U Tank Farm and Surrounding Facilities.....	3-12
Figure 5-1a.	SX-108 Slant Borehole Drilling.....	5-6
Figure 5-1b.	Locations of Sediment Samples Obtained from the SX-108 Slant Borehole	5-7
Figure 5-2.	Hydraulically Driven Direct Push Sampler	5-8
Figure 5-3.	Plumes under T Farm as Determined by Surface Geophysics Exploration.....	5-9
Figure 6-1.	Construction Diagram of Tank Farm Laterals	6-2
Figure 6-2a.	PipeExplorer™ Being Deployed in SX Tank Farm	6-2
Figure 6-2b.	Slim-Line Logging Sondes Used in Laterals Investigation	6-3
Figure 6-3.	Configuration of S Tank Farm Resistivity Survey	6-4
Figure 6-4.	Resistivity Anomaly Centered on Tank S-104	6-4
Figure 6-5.	Layout of SGE lines in WMA B-BX-BY	6-5
Figure 6-6.	Resistivity Anomalies In and Around WMA B-BX-BY	6-6
Figure 6-7.	Hydraulic Hammer Unit	6-7
Figure 6-8a.	Location of Hydraulic Hammer-Based Sampling in BX Tank Farm	6-7
Figure 6-8b.	Location of Hydraulic Hammer-Based Sampling in B Tank Farm	6-8
Figure 6-9.	Layout of SGE Survey of WMA T	6-9
Figure 6-10.	Resistivity Anomalies Adjacent to WMA T	6-10
Figure 6-11.	Two-Dimensional View of Resistivity Anomalies in WMA T	6-11
Figure 6-12.	Two-Dimensional View of Resistivity Anomalies Evident in Groundwater Well Survey	6-11
Figure 6-13.	Locations of Direct Push Investigation for WMA T	6-12
Figure 6-14.	Locations of Direct Push Investigation for WMA TX-TY	6-13
Figure 7-1a.	Cable-Tool Drill Rig in B Tank Farm.....	7-2
Figure 7-1b.	Diesel Hammer Drill Rig in SX Tank Farm	7-2
Figure 7-2.	Stratigraphic Column for Hanford Site.....	7-4
Figure 7-3.	Combination Log of Typical Tank Farm Drywell	7-5
Figure 7-4a.	Layout of Surface Geophysical Exploration Lines at the S Tank Farm	7-8

Figure 7-4b.	Surface Geophysical Exploration Interpretation of Anomalies at the S Tank Farm.....	7-9
Figure 8-1.	Tiered Approach to Sample Analysis and Characterization.....	8-3
Figure 8-2.	Tier I Characterization Data from Borehole C4297 Emplaced Adjacent to Tank C-105	8-4
Figure 8-3.	Core Sample from Lower Cold Creek Unit	8-6
Figure 8-4.	Split-Spoon Sediment Core Taken from the Hanford Formation Containing a Thin (approximately 3 centimeters wide) Lens of Fine-Grained Sediment.....	8-8
Figure 9-1.	Integrated Approach to Develop Past Release Inventory Estimates.....	9-2
Figure 9-2.	Major Releases in the Tank Farms.....	9-4
Figure 10.1.	Averaged Breakthrough Curves for Technetium-99 at the WMA S-SX Boundary for Various Recharge Rates	10-3
Figure 10-2.	Tc-99 Groundwater Concentrations from All WMA C Sources	10-4
Figure 11-1.	Conceptual Model of Hydraulic Drivers at Single-Shell Tank Farms.....	11-1
Figure 11-2.	Leaking Potable Waterline.....	11-3
Figure 11-3.	Gate Valve Failure	11-4
Figure 11-4.	Ponding Caused by Precipitation	11-5
Figure 11-5.	Catastrophic Flooding of T Farm.....	11-6
Figure 11-6.	Catastrophic Waterline Break.....	11-7
Figure 11-7a.	RCRA Corrective Action Program Activities and <i>Hanford Federal Facilities Agreement and Consent Order</i> Milestones: Interim Measures	11-8
Figure 11-7b.	RCRA Corrective Action Program Activities and <i>Hanford Federal Facilities Agreement and Consent Order</i> : Install Surface Barriers.....	11-9
Figure 11-8.	Waterline Leak-Testing Device is inserted in Waterline.....	11-14
Figure 11-9.	Example of a “Nuclear Blank” Style used to Cut and Cap a Waterline	11-15
Figure 11-10.	High Berm Placed Adjacent to the East Side of SX Farm.....	11-16
Figure 11-11.	Completed Low Soil Berm with Gravel Armor Placed along the West Side of TX Farm	11-16
Figure 11-12.	Placement of Concrete Gutter along Baltimore Avenue near BX Farm.....	11-17

Figure 11-13. Completed Asphalt and Concrete Gutter System Constructed Downgradient of the Culvert Installed under the Waste Transfer Line Crossing Baltimore Avenue from B to BX Farms.....	11-17
Figure 11-14. Installed Concrete Gutter and Asphalt Paving along the East Side of Baltimore Avenue Adjacent to B Farm	11-18
Figure 11-15. Installation of 12-inch Culvert Beneath 12 th Street North of Baltimore Avenue and BY Farm	11-18
Figure 11-16. Valley Drain Crossing Cannon Avenue.....	11-19
Figure 11-17. Trench Drain Crossing 23 rd Street Near Southeast Corner of T Farm.....	11-19
Figure 11-18. Riprap Placed at the Northeastern Corner of T Farm	11-20
Figure 11-19. Completed Concrete Gutters and Paving at U Farm at the Intersection of Camden Avenue and 16 th Street.....	11-20
Figure 11-20. Effect of Interim Measure at WMA B-BX-BY	11-22
Figure 11-21. Breach of “Dam” Formed by Waste Transfer Line Crossing Baltimore Avenue between B and BX Farms from a Catastrophic Rainstorm on April 14, 2004.....	11-23
Figure 11-22. Surfacewater Poned in the Inlet Basin and Breached the Basin, Flowing Eastward.....	11-23
Figure 11-23. T Farm Interim Surface Barrier Location and Moisture Probe Nest Locations.....	11-25
Figure 13-1. Contaminated Sediment Samples from the SX-108 Borehole.....	13-3
Figure 13.2. Injection Array for the Clastic Dike Experiment along Army Loop Road.....	13-4
Figure 13-3. Distribution of Cesium-137 (shown in false color) in Grains of the Mineral Muscovite (shown in optical image) from a Sediment Sample Collected beneath the S-SX Tank Farm.....	13-6
Figure 13-4. Exposed Trench Face Showing Layered Sediments in the 200 East Area	13-7
Figure 13-5. Distribution of Moisture Content Measured During Injection of Saline Solution Within the Volume of the Sisson and Lu Site in 2001	13-8
Figure 13.6. Clastic Dike Injection Experiment Showing Injection of Blue Dye and Moisture Content	13-9
Figure 14-1. Multi-Angle Percussion Rig System at Tank SX-108	14-2
Figure 14-2. Hydraulically Driven Direct-Push Sampler	14-3
Figure 14-3. Surface Geophysical Exploration Results from S Farm	14-4

Figure 14-4.	Sample Extrusion Apparatus.....	14-6
Figure 14-5.	Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer Instrument with Dynamic Reaction Cell.....	14-7
Figure 14-6.	Example of Ruthenium Isotopic Ratio Plot for Samples Collected from Waste Management Area T	14-8
Figure 16-1.	Schematic Illustration of the Leak Event and Conceptual Representation of Plume Movement in the Subsurface.....	16-2
Figure 16-2.	Moisture Content Profiles from the Field Injection Experiments at the Sisson and Lu Site for Various Times	16-4
Figure 16-3.	Distribution of Ruthenium-106 in the Vadose Zone Around Tank T-106 in 1973 and 1978.....	16-6
Figure 16-4.	Plan and Cross-Section View of Cesium-137 in SX Tank Farm Vadose Zone Underneath Tanks SX-107,-108 and -109	16-7
Figure 16-5.	Distributions of Cesium-137 and Technetium-99 in Borehole Sediments near Tank SX-108.....	16-11
Figure 17-1.	Tank Waste Inventory Cycle	17-1
Figure 17-2.	Timeline of Hanford Site Production Reactors and Processing Facilities.....	17-4
Figure 17-3.	Timeline of the Hanford Site Uranium Fuel Reprocessing	17-5
Figure 17-4.	Overview of Waste Streams and Discharges from Hanford Site Chemical Separations Processes	17-6
Figure 17-5.	Hanford Tank Waste Operations Simulator Waste Flow	17-10
Figure 18-1.	Recharge Dependence on Surface Conditions.....	18-3
Figure 18-2.	Mature Shrub-Steppe Community at the Integrated Disposal Facility Site in the 200 East Area.....	18-3
Figure 18-3.	Graveled Unvegetated Surface on the T Tank Farm in the 200 West Area	18-4
Figure 18-4.	Field Lysimeter Test Facility Sited Next to the 200 West Area Eastern Fence.....	18-6
Figure 18-5.	Aerial View of Prototype Hanford Barrier	18-7
Figure 19-1.	Typical Hanford Single-Shell Storage Tank.....	19-1
Figure 19-2.	Dependence of Impacts on Contaminant Release Rate and Solubility.....	19-4
Figure 19-3.	Scanning Electron Microscope Images Showing Iron Oxide Coating on a Conglomerate of Tank Waste Particles Present in Water Leached C-204 Pre-Retrieval Tank Waste	19-5

Figure 19-4.	Scanning Electron Microscope Images Showing Particle Shapes and Variations of Distributions of Aluminum, Iron, Silver, Mercury, and Oxygen for a Particle Aggregate from a Leached Sample of Residual Waste from Tank C-106.....	19-7
Figure 20-1.	Geographic Elements of the Pasco Basin Portion of the Columbia Basin, Washington	20-4
Figure 20-2.	Generalized Stratigraphy of the Pasco Basin and Vicinity.....	20-5
Figure 20-3.	Columbia River Basalt Group of the Pacific Northwest.....	20-6
Figure 20-4.	Rattlesnake Mountain, a Typical Ridge in the Yakima Fold Belt	20-7
Figure 20-5.	Generalized Cross Section through the Hanford Site	20-8
Figure 20-6.	Ancestral Columbia River Gravel Deposit	20-9
Figure 20-7.	Rhythmite Deposits from Glacial Lake Lewis.....	20-10
Figure 21-1.	Wetting Front Movement Resulting from an Empirical Experiment	21-2
Figure 21-2.	Monitoring Wells and Moisture-Content Profiles	21-6
Figure 21-3.	Distribution of Moisture Plume	21-7
Figure 21-4.	Plan View of Moisture Plume at Various Times	21-8
Figure 22-1.	Derived and Actual Pore Water Concentrations of Mobile Contaminants in Borehole C4104 Collected Near Tank T-106.....	22-5
Figure 22-2.	Depth Distribution of Ions Readily Dissolved by Water-Leaching Sediment Beneath Leaked Single-Shell Tank SX-115	22-7
Figure 23-1.	Sources of Hanford Site Groundwater Contamination.	23-1
Figure 23-3.	Map Showing the Water Table and Inferred Flow Directions in March 2006.....	23-3
Figure 23-4.	Maps Showing Tritium Plumes near the 200 Areas in the Upper Part of the Unconfined Aquifer in 1980 and 2006	23-5
Figure 23-5.	Map Showing the Distribution of Hazardous Chemicals in Groundwater at Concentrations above Drinking Water Standards during FY 2006 in the Upper Part of the Unconfined Aquifer.....	23-7
Figure 23-6.	Map Showing the Distribution of Radionuclides in Groundwater at Concentrations Above Drinking Water Standards during FY 2006 in the Upper Part of the Unconfined Aquifer.....	23-8
Figure 23-7.	Uranium Plume in the Northwestern Corner of the 200 East Area.	23-9

Figure 26-1. Schematic of Decision Management Tool Data Sources, Data Integration and Calculation Output 26-6

Figure 27-1. Conceptual Groundwater Concentrations from Single-Shell Tank Farms 27-2

Figure 27-2. Dependence of Groundwater Impacts on Inventory 27-5

Figure 27-3. Dependence of Impacts on Release Duration 27-6

Figure 27-4. Dependence of Travel Time on Recharge 27-7

Figure 27-5. Dependence of Groundwater Impacts on Travel Time..... 27-7

Figure 27-6. Dependence of Groundwater Impacts on Geochemistry 27-8

Figure 28-1. Location, Inventory, and Waste Form for the Major Sources of Technetium-99 after Hanford Site Closure..... 28-2

Figure 30-1. Path Forward..... 30-1

List of Tables

Table 2-1.	Tank Waste Inventory of Key Facilities	2-5
Table 5-1.	Analyses of Dry Well Gross Gamma Logs.....	5-2
Table 5-2.	Analyses of Dry Well Spectral Gamma Logs.....	5-3
Table 7-1.	Distribution of the 11 Boreholes Drilled by the Tank Farm Vadose Zone Program.....	7-3
Table 11-1.	Key Reports on Interim Measures	11-11
Table 11-2.	Interim Measures Completed.....	11-12
Table 11-3.	Summary of Waterline Abandonment Activities for Single-Shell Tank Farms in the 200 Area During FY 2001-2002.....	11-14
Table 12-1.	Screening Criteria	12-6
Table 12-2.	Summary of Potentially Viable Technologies	12-7
Table 12-3.	Assessment of Elevated Regions	12-9
Table 15-1.	Key Performance Objectives for Tank Closure.....	15-3
Table 17-1.	Key Contaminants of Concern for Tank Waste.....	17-2
Table 22-1.	Tank Waste Compositions for Three Largest Tank Leaks at the Hanford Site.....	22-3
Table 22-2.	Major Geochemical Features of Contaminants of Interest, Generalized to Typical Uncontaminated Hanford Site Groundwater	22-12
Table 23-1.	Area of Contaminant Plumes Beneath the Entire Hanford Site at Levels Above Drinking Water Standards (square kilometers) (from Hartman et al. 2007).....	23-6
Table 23-2.	Major Groundwater Contaminants Beneath Single-Shell Tank Waste Management Areas	23-6
Table 27-1.	Estimated Reference Case Groundwater Impacts at the Waste Management Area Fenceline	27-3
Table 29-1.	1998 Data Needs that have been Addressed.....	29-3
Table 29-2.	Current High Priority Data Needs (2 pages).....	29-4
Table 30-1.	Key Data Needs	30-2

Acronyms

CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CMG	Configuration Management Group
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HFFACO	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order
HTWOS	Hanford Tank Waste Operations Simulator
IDF	Integrated Disposal Facility
ILAW	immobilized low-activity waste
MUST	miscellaneous underground storage tank
PA	performance assessment
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
RCRA	Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act
SST	single-shell tank
TFC	tank farm contractor
UPR	unplanned release
WMA	waste management area

